UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,253	08/31/2006	Paolo Fontanazzi	1034170-000032	9285
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			EXAMINER	
			ELKINS, GARY E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3782	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com offserv@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/591,253	FONTANAZZI, PAOLO		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Gary E. Elkins	3782		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Jo 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-10 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ T	n from consideration. or election requirement. er. cepted or b) □ objected to by the B drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
,—				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20060831, 20061115.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/591,253 Page 2

Art Unit: 3782

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-4 and 11-16 in the reply filed on 22 January 2010 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search for each Group would likely be the same and therefor examination of both Groups would not constitute serious burden. This is not found persuasive because the search for each Group is not the same and the search for the product would not extend into the method classes 493 or 53.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 5-10 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 22 January 2010.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4 and 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Palm (WO 03/006327) (figs 1-5 emb). Palm discloses a gable top package and sheet material blank with sloping top walls (10, 11) joined together at a top transversal seal (12) and a pair of top side walls (15, 16) as claimed. The top walls are formed by panels which are formed by rotating the panels as rigid bodies around the respective fold lines. It is noted with respect to claims 14-16

Application/Control Number: 10/591,253 Page 3

Art Unit: 3782

that the recited mental method steps of using formulas to determine the angles and lengths of the crease lines in the top walls do not define the sheet material being claimed over the sheet material blank in Palm. The patentability of a product is not dependent upon the intended method of manufacture. No distinction is seen between the product being claimed and that shown in Palm.

Conclusion

The remaining cited prior art is illustrative of the general state of the art.

Any inquiry related this office action or any other office action for this application

should be directed to Examiner Gary Elkins at the number listed below. Normal work days are Mon, Wed and Fri.

If the Examiner is unavailable and you need to talk to someone sooner, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Nathan Newhouse may be contacted at the number listed below.

Information regarding the status of an application may also be obtained by accessing the PAIR system. Information about the PAIR system can be obtained at the website http://pair-direct.uspto.gov or by contacting the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll free). You may also contact a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access the automated information system at 1-800-786-9199 (in USA or Canada) or 1-571-272-1000.

/Gary E. Elkins/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3782 (571)272-4537

Additional Phone Numbers:

Supervisor Nathan Newhouse: (571) 272-4544 Official Fax: (571) 273-8300